6/15/04

TO: Local Boundary Commission

RE: KESA

We lived in the area serviced by KESA until May of 2002. When KESA was formed, we were hopeful that there would be able to be a faster response time for fire & ambulance in the area. We saw KESA as a viable alternative to what the city was offering, since the response time from downtown were not real quick, & in emergencies, Every minute counts. Another issue that was a concern was the size of the fire trucks - on the small back roads, the engines would not be able to make the sharp turns, & even the width of the vehicle wouldn't work because of the narrowness of the road. Smaller equipment would have made more sense.

Also, when the city was talking annexation, we were concerned that a portion of the KESA tax base would be removed if annexation occurred. This definately would hamper the ability for KESA to provide the equipment needed.

We would still prefer KESA over the city.

RECEIVED
JUN 17 2004
Local Boundary Commission

Thank you.

Phil & Tammy Clay